

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/619,203	03/21/96	KEENE	D CRUS-0045

ROBERT PLATT BELL & ASSOCIATED, P. C.  
917 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

LM51/0512

 EXAMINER

NGUYEN, F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2774

DATE MAILED: 05/12/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.	08/619,203	Applicant(s)	DAVID KEENE
Examiner	FRANCIS NGUYEN	Group Art Unit	2774

- Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/17/98.
- This action is FINAL.
- Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 months, or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

**Disposition of Claims**

- Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is  approved  disapproved.
- The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- All  Some\*  None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
- received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.
- received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

- Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

**Attachment(s)**

- Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 *Dated 1/5/98 M ey*
- Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- Interview Summary, PTO-413
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. The specification amendment and claim amendment(as related to claims 1 and 12) filed on 3/17/98 have been entered and approved. In view of the amendment, the rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 112 is now withdrawn.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 through 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hancock in view of Munson et al., further in view of Coelho et al., and further in view of Selwan et al.

4. In reference to **claims 1 through 22**, reference Hancock (U.S. Patent 5,604,514) discloses a display controller and associated method (video display controller (32)) for receiving video data from a data bus (22) in a component YUV(YUV16) format and storing the video data(image pel) to a display memory(30) in pixel video format(YUV8/YUV16)(see figures 1, 2A, column 3, lines 15-17, lines 26-34, column 4, lines 23-27). However, it fails to expressly teach a bus interface means coupled

Art Unit: 2774

to the data bus. Reference Munson et al.(U.S. Patent 5,699,277) discloses a bus interface means (PCI I/F (109) coupling to PCI bus (115)), PCI configuration registers, video capture registers for data processing, Register Programming Sequencer with address pointers, address working registers (see figures 4, 16, column 2, line 47-67, column 6, lines 18-24, lines 50-56, column 26, lines 36-55, column 27, lines 51-62). It also teaches the principle of byte alignment, decimation, wherein only a portion of captured chrominance data is used for storage(see column 10, lines 35-43, column 12, lines 45-46). However, it fails to expressly teach a receiving method of video data in contiguous successive streams of luminance and chrominance difference data. Reference Coelho et al.(U.S. Patent 5,666,137) teaches an improved technique for formatting YUV9 subsampled data as known in the art(byte lane arrangement), wherein a frame buffer is divided into plural blocks for storing sequential packed video stream data( Y, U, V ); a frame can be divided into 4x4 blocks(e.g. the screen comprising 30 bands 40 blocks wide is organized with 8 bits for U and 8 bits for V to provide color information for all 16 pixels in a block, yielding an average of one bit per pixel, thus YUV9) and the original full data Y,U, V values comprises  $(Y_{11} \dots Y_{120\ 160})$ , $(U_{11} \dots U_{120\ 160})$  and  $(V_{11} \dots V_{120\ 160})$  respectively, **wherein U and V data are not all sent.** Therefore, it is possible to start processing the received digital color information for reconstruction and display(see figures 2, 3, 4, column 1, lines 28-52, column 2, lines 42-67, column 4, lines 10-23). However, those references fail to teach a bit block transfer engine for performing a replicating function. Reference Selwan et al.(U.S.Patent 5,526,025) discloses an apparatus/method for performing run length tagging by the use of BITBLT circuit (1106), BITBLT tag generation circuit block (1202), FIFO controller (1220) sending a signal

Art Unit: 2774

on bus (1228) to alert display memory controller (1210) to stop loading data at FIFO full condition.

**It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the aforementioned means and method of the apparatus of Hancock, apply the teaching principle of PCI interface means, utilize the Register Programming sequencer including address pointers and registers for memory control, PCI configuration registers available for programming(e.g. memory aperture predetermination) and also writing means to display buffers, the principle of decimation, byte alignment(offset) as taught by Munson et al., implement that offset teaching principle to memory address(bit level or byte level), then further apply the method of data conversion of YUV9 data into sequential packed data streams(in byte lanes) as taught by Coelho et al. , add a bit block transfer engine for data replication featuring an output signal(coupling to the aforementioned PCI interface ) alerting system CPU after FIFO is full or the end of contiguous memory block is reached, as taught by Selwan et al. to obtain the combined device and associated method of Hancock-Munson et al.-Coelho et al.-Selwan et al. because it would result in reduction of significant reception time, reduction of buffer storage requirement as taught by Celho et al.(see column 1, lines 65-67, column 2, lines 6-9), reduction of host processor utilization as taught by Munson et al.(see column 4, lines 5-6), reduction of memory access( during critical refresh process) and power consumption, and a more responsive machine, as taught by Selwan et al.(see column 3, lines 14-16, and column 24, lines 22-27).**

Art Unit: 2774

***Response to Arguments***

5. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner has combined an excessive number of references, reliance on a large number of references in a rejection does not, without more, weigh against the obviousness of the claimed invention. See *In re Gorman*, 933 F.2d 982, 18 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

6. The rejection based on 35 USC 103(a) using previous cited art is now withdrawn. New cited art is now relied upon with the same ground of rejection, as described in paragraph 2 above.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francis Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8858. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-9051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

*FN*

Francis Nguyen

May 8th, 1998



RICHARD A. HJERPE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
GROUP 2700